From: Karl Markiewicz/R3/USEPA/US

Sent: 7/19/2012 7:16:52 AM

To: Kelley Chase/R3/USEPA/US@EPA CC: Lora Werner/R3/USEPA/US@EPA

Subject: Re: Dimock Residential Ground Water Sampling - Supplemental Round 1 Toxicologist Reports and related

DRAFT Residential Data Reports

Hello Kelley,

The tox reports were not attached - only data.

Karl

From: Kelley Chase/R3/USEPA/US

To: EOC Environmental Unit@EPA, Janine Dinan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Terry Smith/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Scott

Wesselkamper/CI/USEPA/US@EPA

Cc: loven.Dawn@epamail.epa.gov, Robert Helverson/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Lora Werner/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Karl V Markiewicz <markiewicz.karl@epa.gov>, Victoria Binetti/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, KarenD Johnson/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Robin Costas/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 07/18/2012 06:36 PM

Subject: Dimock Residential Ground Water Sampling - Supplemental Round 1 Toxicologist Reports and related DRAFT Residential

Data Reports

Attached for your review please find PDFs of the Toxicologist Reports and Draft Residential Data Reports for the 3 locations sampled during in May as part of the "Supplemental Round 1" sampling. Please note that the micro data is NOT included in these reports. We are working to complete the data validation and hope to send this data to you shortly. Once final, the micro data will be included in the reports to the residents.

We would appreciate any comments you may have on the attached reports by 5 pm tomorrow (7/19/12) at the latest (earlier, if at all possible). I appologize for the short turn-a-round. As you may know, we are doing our best to finalize all outstanding data and prepare final reports for the distribution to the residents by Monday 7/23/12.

While the data has been validated and is considered final, the reports are considered DRAFT until our review is completed. Please be sure to limit the distribution of these reports to an internal review as we have not yet shared the data with residents or with PADEP.

The "tox" reports include additional information (e.g. lab qualifiers, MDLs, QLs, RLs) to assist in the tox review which is not included in the residential reports.

Please note that we are aware that in many cases the established "trigger levels" are lower that the actual detection limits. The R3 tox is taking this into account in her review. We will be prepared to answer questions regarding this issue as they come up during our meetings with residents.

A glossary/key is included at the end of the residential data reports. Please note that we expect to update the key with a new definition for "UJ." The current definition is not consistent with National Functional Guidelines. Basically, the NFG defines UJ as follows: The analyte was not detected at a level greater than or equal to the QL. However, the reported adjusted QL is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.

Please do not hesitate to contact me via e-mail or cell phone (267-273-8859) with any questions and/or if you need additional info.

Thank you - Kelley

Toxicologist Reports

DRAFT Residential Data Reports [attachment "HW64.pdf" deleted by Karl Markiewicz/R3/USEPA/US] [attachment "HW62.pdf" deleted by Karl Markiewicz/R3/USEPA/US] [attachment "HW63.pdf" deleted

DIM0109364 DIM0109364 DIM0109364

by Karl Markiewicz/R3/USEPA/US] [attachment "HW-64.pdf" deleted by Karl Markiewicz/R3/USEPA/US] [attachment "HW-62.pdf" deleted by Karl Markiewicz/R3/USEPA/US] [attachment "HW-63.pdf" deleted by Karl Markiewicz/R3/USEPA/US]

DIM0109364 DIM0109365